## PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

Past Performance Reference COMPANY PERFORMING REF (Name, Address, and Telephone #						
PERSON COMPLETING QUEST (Name, Job Title, and Telephone #						
The following contractor has submersponse to a recent RFP.	nitted a propo	sal to provide	Shipboard Phys	ical Security Ins	stallation Services in	1
CONTRACTOR: _						
CONTRACT NUMBER: _						
CONTRACT TYPE:						
NATURE OF THE EFFORT: _						
_						

It is requested that the Contracting Officer and the Technical Point of Contact for the contract cited above, complete this questionnaire to provide Past Performance Data for consideration by the RFP evaluation panel. The Questionnaire shall be forwarded to Naval Surface Warfare Center Crane Division, 300 Highway 361, Crane IN, 47522-5001, Bldg. 2521 Attention: Daniel Davis 1162NN, Solicitation No. N00164-03-R-4401, on or before 15 December 2003 (solicitation closing date). Facsimile Number: (812) 854-3384.

Circle the number on the scale of 1 to 5 which most accurately describes the contractor's performance on the referenced contract. A "5" represents an <u>Exceptional</u> performance, and a "1" indicates an <u>Unacceptable</u> performance. Please add any comments and information that might help to determine the contractor's probable performance.

		RAT	TING S	CALE	
1. Assess the contractor's conformance to contract					
requirements, specifications and standards of good workman-					
ship (e.g. commonly accepted technical, professional,					
environmental, or safety and health standards), including					
the accuracy of reports/data. For example: Did the product					
or service provided always meet the SOW/specifications of					
the contract? Did the work measure up to commonly accepted					
technical or professional standards?	1	2	3	4	5
2. Assess the degree to which the contractor did not					
<u> </u>					
require Government technical direction to solve problems		•	2		_
that arose.	1	2	3	4	5

2

3. Assess the timeliness of the contractor against the completion of the contract, task orders, milestones, delivery schedules, and administrative requirements. Instances of adverse actions such as the assessment of liquidated damages, or issuance of Cure Notices, Show Cause Notices, Delinquency Notices are indicators of problems which may have resulted in variance to the contract schedule and should therefore be noted in the		RATI	NG SC	ALE	
evaluation.	1	2	3	4	5
4. Assess the contractor's ability to overcome program, technical, or schedule difficulties. Assess the contractor's technical judgment as demonstrated by the quality of their design results.	11	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
5. Cost Control (Not required for Firm Fixed Price or Firm Fixed Price with Economic Price Adjustment) Assess the contractor's effectiveness in forecasting, managing, and controlling contract cost. For example: Did the contractor keep within the total estimated cost (what is the relationship of the negotiated costs and budgeted cost to actuals)? Were billings current, accurate and complete?	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
6. Assess the adequacy of the contractor's accounting, billing and estimating systems.	11	2	3	4	5
7. Did the contractor's labor charges remain consistent with what was initially proposed at the outset of the contract?	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
8. Assess the integration and coordination of all activity needed to execute the contract, specifically the timeliness, completeness and quality of problem identification, corrective action plans, proposal submittals, the contractor's history of reasonable and cooperative behavior. Was the contractor oriented toward customer satisfaction?	1	2	3	4	5
9. Assess the contractor's success with timely award and management of subcontracts, including management control, subcontract costs and problem resolution.	1	2	3	4	<u>5</u>

			RAT	ING SC	CALE	
10. Assess the contractor's performance in selecting, retaining, supporting, and replacing, when necessary,						
the key personnel. For example, how well did the contract	etor					
match the qualifications of the key position, as described						
in the contract, with the person who filled the key position						
If a key person did not perform well, did the contractor ta						
effective action to correct this? If replacement of key pers						
was necessary, did the replacement(s) meet or exceed the			2	2	4	_
qualifications of the position as described in the contract?	'	<u>l</u>	2	3	4	<u>5</u>
11. If the contract incorporated a small business, HU	R7one					
small business, small disadvantaged business and women						
small business subcontracting plan, were the goals attained						
or exceeded?	ou .	1	2	3	4	5
or enecoura.		-			•	<u> </u>
12. Other than meeting the goals of the small busines	SS,					
HUBZone small business, small disadvantaged business						
and women-owned small business subcontracting plan,						
how successful is the offeror in fostering participation and	d					
assisting such firms?		1	2	3	4	5
TOTAL POINTS	UALITY					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
NOTE: AN EXPLANATION MUST ACCO.		RS W	ITH AN	ASTER	RISK (*)	
13. Was consideration or a monetary withholding for nor					, ,	
this contract?						_
☐ Yes* ☐ No						
*Explanation:						
<del></del>						
14. List instances of any adverse action taken due to inab or delivery or cost schedules (e.g. cure notices, show caus				es, etc.)		
*Explanation:						
*Explanation:						

4

ATTACHMENT 4

15.	Was/is any part of th	is contract terminated for default and/or in litigation?
	☐ Yes*	□ No
	*Explanation:	
	-	
16.	Was any warranty w	ork completed on delivered items?
	☐ Yes*	□ No
	*Explanation:	
	-	
	-	
		TIMELINESS
	NOTE: AN EX	XPLANATION MUST ACCOMPANY ALL ANSWERS WITH AN ASTERISK (*).
	Were all items (incluedule?	ading products, services, reports, etc.) delivered within the original contract or delivery order
	Yes	□ No*
	*Explanation:	
	-	

## PRICE/COST

18. What was the awa	arded contract price/cost? \$_	
19. How many price/o	cost type modifications were issued?	
20. Was the original c	contract price/cost met?	
Yes	□ No*	
*Explanation:	:	
21. If the estimated co	ost was not met, what was the positive/negative	e percentage of change?
+		
22. Address the consist proposed at the onset of	istency of labor rates/charges during the term of the contract.	of the contract in comparison to rates initially
Explanation:		
23. Address any inno	ovative actions taken that resulted in cost savin	gs.
Explanation:		

6

## OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION

24. Proaction(	ovide information and describe problems encountered under the identified contract and offeror's corrective s) initiated to solve any of the described problems. Discuss the success of the corrective action(s) taken.
25.	What are the contractor's strong points and/or what did you like most about the contractor?
26.	What are the contractor's weak points and/or what did you like least about the contractor?

## Past Performance Questionnaire Exhibit F N00164-03-R-4401

27. Would you award similar contracts to the	ne contractor?	☐ YES	☐ NO	
Comments:				
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:				
(NAME, POSITION (TPOC OR KO)	SIGNATURE	D	ATE	

8 ATTACHMENT 4